

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXIX No. 22

November 28, 2017

141 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Costa v. Plainville Board of Education (Order), 327 C 961	5
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Herman (Order), 327 C 960	4
Procaccini v. Lawrence & Memorial Hospital, Inc. (Order), 327 C 960.	4
State v. Carter (Order), 327 C 960.	4
State v. Franklin (Order), 327 C 961	5
State v. Halili (Order), 327 C 961	5
State v. Purcell (Order), 327 C 959	3
State v. Steele (Order), 327 C 962	6
Thomson v. Dept. of Social Services (Order), 327 C 962.	6
Tilus v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 327 C 962.	6
Volume 327 Cumulative Table of Cases	7

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Carmon v. Commissioner of Correction, 178 CA 356.	100A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner was not denied due process as result of state's failure to turn over to his criminal trial counsel allegedly exculpatory evidence that consisted of fingerprint analysis report; whether fingerprint analysis report constituted material, exculpatory evidence under Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83); claim that habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner failed to prove that criminal trial counsel and prior habeas counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to investigate or to present fingerprint analysis report; whether petitioner could establish that he was prejudiced by alleged deficiency of counsel; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner failed to establish claim of actual innocence; claim that fingerprint analysis report was newly discovered evidence.</i>	
GMAC Mortgage, LLC v. Ford, 178 CA 287.	31A
<i>Foreclosure; standing; claim that trial court erred in granting substitute plaintiff's motion to open judgment of strict foreclosure for purpose of setting new law days and denying named defendant's motion to open judgment; whether named defendant's purported rescission of subject loan was effective under Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. § 1601 et seq.); whether Jesinoski v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (135 S. Ct. 709) was applicable to facts of case; claim that substitute plaintiff lacked standing to maintain action.</i>	
Lebron v. Commissioner of Correction, 178 CA 299	43A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly dismissed third habeas petition on ground that there was no good cause for trial as to any count of petition; whether habeas court properly determined that claims raised in first three counts of habeas petition were waived as result of petitioner's guilty plea; whether habeas court properly dismissed fourth count of habeas petition as improper successive petition; claim that petitioner did not have full and fair opportunity to present claim in first habeas matter; whether habeas court improperly determined that there was no good cause to allow entirety of fifth and sixth counts to proceed to trial; whether habeas court's conclusion that none of petitioner's claims had direct relationship to validity of plea itself was improper; whether habeas court properly dismissed those portions of counts five and six that were premised on alleged ineffective assistance of counsel regarding claims that were asserted in counts one through three of habeas petition, which had been waived by petitioner's guilty plea.</i>	

(continued on next page)

Meletrich v. Commissioner of Correction, 178 CA 266	10A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal with respect to claim that trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to call additional alibi witness during petitioner's criminal trial; whether habeas court properly determined that trial counsel was not deficient in failing to call additional alibi witness; whether petitioner was prejudiced by decision of trial counsel not to call additional alibi witness.</i>	
Rosenthal v. Bloomfield, 178 CA 258	2A
<i>Breach of contract; claim that trial court erred in granting motion for judgment of dismissal pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 15-8); whether evidence submitted set forth prima facie case of breach of contract; whether plaintiffs demonstrated, in accordance with Poole v. Waterbury (266 Conn. 68), that insurance benefits under new health insurance plan in employment agreement were not substantially commensurate to benefits under prior plan when viewing group of plaintiffs as whole.</i>	
State v. Davis, 178 CA 324	68A
<i>Accessory to murder; conspiracy to commit murder; attempt to commit murder; claim that trial court committed plain error by improperly instructing jury on accessory to murder that state did not need to prove that defendant had specific intent to kill; whether trial court properly instructed jury that it did not have to find that defendant had specific intent to kill particular victim in order to find defendant guilty of murder as accessory.</i>	
State v. Fowler, 178 CA 332	76A
<i>Revocation of probation; whether trial court's finding that defendant violated conditions of probation by failing to keep probation officers informed of whereabouts and failing to provide probation officers with valid and verifiable address was clearly erroneous; claim that office of probation did not have authority to require defendant to submit to global positioning system monitoring; whether defendant's refusal to submit to monitoring constituted violation of conditions of probation; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in denying oral motion to dismiss; failure to brief claim adequately.</i>	
State v. Walker, 178 CA 345	89A
<i>Murder; conspiracy to commit murder; robbery in first degree; criminal possession of firearm; whether trial court committed plain error by failing, sua sponte, to instruct jury on accomplice testimony; whether evidence supported conclusion that girlfriend of defendant's coconspirator aided defendant in commission of any crime with which defendant was charged so as to warrant accomplice instruction.</i>	
Volume 178 Cumulative Table of Cases	117A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Motor Vehicles, Dept. of	1B
Social Services, Dept. of	2B, 3B, 4B

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <http://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel 1C
